CYNTHIA A. JARZ Official Court Reporter 084-002671

24

23

THE COURT: Zabala.

MR. BRETZ: We used an Exhibit 2 back in December. This is largely the same thing. Just rearranged some of the slides.

So I gave the State a copy. It is a multipage exhibit for demonstrative purposes. It will be on your screen. It is a hard copy. Marked it Exhibit 2A for the record.

THE COURT: Does that work? Then I have two microphones on the -- well, Chuck, are you going to ask your questions from down there?

MR. BRETZ: I am.

THE COURT: I am going to put your microphone on. Can you hit it? So my understanding is we are -- State is resting at least as far as evidence goes in the motion in limine?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

THE COURT: And the defense wants to present some evidence. This is 18 CF 2109, People of the State of Illinois versus Peter Zabala.

Mr. Zabala is here in the custody of the Will County Sheriff. He is unhandcuffed and unshackled. And Mr. Bretz is here on his behalf.

Mr. Shlifka, Mr. Fitzgerald, Mr. Koch and

2.0

2.1

Miss Molesky are here on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois.

With that being said, I believe -- do we want to try it out just to make sure we can hear everything?

MR. BRETZ: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Miletic, can you hear us?

MR. SHLIFKA: I reached out to

Detective German. He can hear us. We are just waiting --

MR. KOCH: He might be in now.

THE COURT: All right. There we go. Good afternoon, Mr. Miletic.

MR. MILETIC: Sorry about that. Good afternoon.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear us okay?

MR. MILETIC: I don't see you. But I can hear you.

THE COURT: We might have to turn that. As long as you can hear us, Mr. Miletic, there is really not a lot to see. There you are. All right. There we go. Mr. Bretz is in full focus and properly framed.

So what we are going to do now, Mr. Miletic, I am going to swear you in.

(Witness sworn) 1 THE WITNESS: I do. 2 THE COURT: All right. With that being 3 said --4 5 MR. BRETZ: Judge, just to cover things, I know 6 the State last time spread of record I think it's Rule 45. 7 THE COURT: The Supreme Court Rule allowing 8 for evidentiary testimony? 9 MR. BRETZ: And just so there is no 10 misunderstandings, I think last time you made inquiry 11 12 whether or not Mr. Zabala was agreeing to conduct the hearing in this manner. 13 We are. But if the Court or State wants 14 further inquiry to satisfy the record --15 16 THE COURT: I think we have got a previous --17 I mean, it is a continued hearing. Mr. Zabala, do you have any issues with us 18 proceeding by way of what we would consider a remote or 19 electronic communications in this way? 2.0 THE DEFENDANT: 2.1 No. 2.2 MR. BRETZ: Very good. (Witness sworn.) 23 RICHARD MILETIC, 24

after having been first duly sworn, was examined and 1 testified as follows: 2 **DIRECT EXAMINATION BY:** 3 MR. CHARLES BRETZ 4 BY MR. BRETZ: 5 Q. Mr. Miletic, just for the record, state your full name and spell your last name, please. 7 Richard Miletic, M-I-L-E-T-I-C. 8 Α. And you are the same Richard Miletic who 9 testified briefly in this matter back on December 28th 10 of 2023: is that correct? 11 12 Α. Correct.

- ${\tt Q}.$  At that time, we went through some of your background and credentials. And I would like to ask some follow-up questions that would come after that.  ${\tt Okay?}$ 
  - A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. So you have had occasion to listen to the testimony of Detective German as well as Sy Ray in reference to this matter; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you have had occasion to hear the various conclusions and opinions that have been advanced by Detective German?

A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. And I guess the first thing that I would ask you is, based on your expertise and experience in the field, is there a difference between an expert who is trained in utilizing a software program and an expert who is knowledgeable in reference to the underlying technologies that are involved with a cellular system network?
- ${\tt A.}$  I think I can answer your question. There are really two levels of expertise we are talking about here.

One level would be the expertise of taking the T-Mobile records, for example, putting them through some piece of software in this case, the ZetX TraX software I believe it is called, display name, and using expertise in crime analysis to render some opinion on the crime itself and how cellphone data is related to that.

The second level of expertise would be the functionality of the cellular network itself, how it goes about communicating with cellphones in the various digital technologies, how it goes about using and determining the different parameters that it uses in order to service and provide wireless service to customers.

That -- you could take training classes to understand the basics of how cellular networks work.

But that is really not an expertise in the functionality of it. It really takes years.

It is kind of analogous to a professor in fluid mechanics, for example, taking a class and a student taking a class in that, the professor would be the expert. The student would be the student, not necessarily the expert.

So, you know, it takes years in this field to actually be an expert in how the cellular system works in underlying technologies.

- Q. In that regard in getting to how the cellular networks work and how what, if any, information can be derived from the various data that is presented by the cellular carriers, have you prepared a revised slide show that would help you talk through those points?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And we have presented that to the Court and marked it Exhibit 2A for the record. And what I was going to ask is if we could give Mr. Miletic control of the screen so that he can publish his computer and present the slideshow and talk through the various slides.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. SHLIFKA: Judge, it looks like he has the authority.

> THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Can you see my screen?

### BY MR. BRETZ:

Q. Yes, we can see it. Thank you.

So after the first introductory slide, the next slide is entitled antenna patterns lab. Can you describe to the Court what is exhibited there and what the relevance is for the inquiry that we are making today?

So when antennas are manufactured, the manufacturer of the antenna will typically put that antenna into an isolated chamber, usually a large sized room that does not allow any external radio signals to enter the room.

So there is no effect from the outside world onto, into the room. They put the antenna in the middle of the room. And they send a signal through that antenna.

And then they measure the signal strength per se within the entire area of the room. And then they come up with or however the room is set up, but typically the entire room, they come up with a picture

like this where red indicates a stronger signal level.

And then as you move out to the purple, the signal level is less.

- Q. Is this what --
- A. Now every antenna -- I am sorry. What was that?
- Q. Is this what you would get if you were in a neutral environment without any external interference or things you would see in the real world?
- A. That's correct. Yes, this is what you would see in this isolated, RF isolated chamber. And the other point here is every antenna manufacturer will have a different pattern.

So depending on their model or design or requirements, each one will display a different pattern. So there are thousands of different antenna models out there. Some are directional. Some on omnidirectional. Some are secularized. Some have more angled beam width. Some have a wider beam width.

Some are made of -- they are all made, some are made of differing materials.

So they will all have different patterns associated with them. It is not a single pattern for every antenna that is manufactured.

Q. All right. So turning then to the real world and to your second slide, can you explain to us what this is that you have set forth in slide two on how this equates to what the actual antenna coverage consists of in a typical real world environment?

A. Right. So just -- just to, a little background how this type of map is created, cellular engineers, RF engineers are -- planning engineers I work for --

THE COURT REPORTER: He is cutting out.

THE WITNESS: -- use software, one of the software packaging used. RF compliant software. Hold on one second.

THE COURT: Mr. Miletic, can we start that answer over again?

THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes. So the way this map is generated is by a software package that is referred to as RF planning software. And this is the standard in the industry, cellular network industry.

And this map is generated by inputting dozens of different parameters. So, for example, some of the parameters that they input into the software package would be the height of the tower, the actual model number of the antenna, the amount of power that is being transmitted through the antenna, the terrain -- there is

5

1

2

3

6

8

9

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

a terrain data base that they use that consists of hills, the level of the hills, how high they are, low they are, valleys, if there is any water there, rivers.

Then there is another data base that contains foliage like trees, bushes, grasses, mud lands and any type of other parts of the terrain.

For large cities, urban areas, they may also input what is called a building data base.

And the building data base actually has 3D representations of the buildings, the material these buildings are built from.

These databases are created either by GPS technology or by low flying airplanes that take images of these terrain and buildings and get data bases out of these.

Cellular companies purchase these data bases and use those in these tools. So what they do, they load all these parameters and all these data bases into this tool. And then they basically just hit a button that runs through various models that have been designed to calculate actual coverage.

The other thing they do is they will, to improve the analysis, they will go out and actually do drive tests of the area and get points of actual signal levels. And they will take that data.

And they will input that data into the RF tool as well. And that has the effect of improving the accuracy.

And so the basic output of that would be a map that looks like this. This is not the area that we are talking about. It is a different area of the country. But this is an example what typical real world cellular, part of a cellular system looks like.

And so if I go to the map, the cell towers are represented by these small black, kind of tri -- three triangular shapes connected to a single point where each one of those triangles represents 120 degree sector of a cell tower.

And the colors represent the coverage of that particular sector. So basically if I am looking at this red area here in the middle, this is showing a coverage of this sector colored of 03.

And so, in general, if a mobile was within this area, most likely they are going to connect to that sector. There are other factors in play like capacity and time of day and other factors that, you know, this is a long-time snapshot of the network.

The network does change throughout the day and

2.2

throughout the seasons. So we can't take this, we can't apply this all the time every day. But it gives the cellular engineer a fairly good representation of coverage of those sectors.

So, again, in the area where it changes colors, that is where you may get a hand-off from one cell tower sector to another. And this kind of map makes a lot of sense because you are dealing with various different kinds of terrains.

It is logical to think of, you know, a radio signal is not going to travel very well through a dense forest. It is not going to travel well through a hill, a high hill, for example.

If you are sitting on a valley on the opposite side of a hill, your coverage is not going to be as good as if you were up on top of that hill. RF signals travel really well over water.

And so if you are at the end of a river and there is a cell tower down at the other end of the river, you are probably going to be able to connect to that tower.

So it makes common sense that you are going to get this type of coverage map in all these various different, different antennas, different tower

transmittal levels, different types of antenna, different terrain factors.

So this is more of a real world type representation of what a cellular system is.

BY MR. BRETZ:

- Q. Now, you mentioned the concept of a drive test of the area. With the materials you reviewed, was there any sort of drive test done here?
- A. I believe there were some drive tests done by prosecution's witnesses or partners or -- and I read some of that. I think they used, if I remember correctly, they used some (zoom audio cuts out) -- equipment.

THE COURT: Mr. Miletic, I am going to stop you there. I am sorry. You broke up a little bit. Can you just repeat the first part of that sentence what they used?

THE WITNESS: Sure. I understand they used equipment from a company called Rohde and Schwartz, which I am familiar with it. I have actually been to the Rohde and Schwarz plant. They are an excellent company.

And they make very good test equipment. But the problem with the drive tests that were done was that

(A) they were done I believe a year after the crime.

So as we -- well, and I know cellular systems change, and especially within that amount of time.

Modifications are made to the towers to optimize the networks.

In many cases, cellular companies are adding additional towers to the network. So they may add a tower in between other towers.

That changes the whole region because they have to modify all the towers around it.

With 5G, they are implementing small cells now. So they are putting those in. They are constantly adding new. The networks are constantly changing.

Two, the equipment that they use does not, is not really the same as an actual cellphone network. The antennas are different. Antennas are mounted to the outside of the vehicle typically. So portable phones are inside the vehicle.

So there is a lot of differences there. So you kind of have to take the drive test with kind of a grain of salt and use the data as appropriate but don't use the data if you are comparing, you are not necessarily comparing oranges -- it is really oranges and apples and comparing it to how a mobile performs.

#### BY MR. BRETZ:

2.2

- Q. Now, turning then to the next slide, time of arrival, multi-path, what is exhibited there?
- ${\mathbb A}.$  I think -- if there is a question, I think I missed it.
- Q. No, no question. I just said what was exhibited there?
- A. Okay. So this moves into kind of the conversation about timing advance and using the timing advance and the records and parameters to determine phone location.

And so the next series of slides address that issue. So this is just a background of how cellular signals are sent and received between the mobile phone and the tower.

So the cellphone has an omnidirectional antenna transmitting signals in a circular pattern, sometimes a spherical pattern. So it is not just one signal, not like -- a microwave dish pointing one direction.

So it is transmitting in all different directions. And this is a pictorial view of how multiple signals are sent out from the phone. And they travel along different pathways.

So some may -- and they will hit different

obstacles as they travel. Will get reflected off of different obstacles, buildings, and they will get absorbed by trees. And that will delay the signal.

2.2

So the result of that is the signals will get received at the tower at different times because one path may take a little longer to get to the tower than another path because it is bouncing off various obstacles as it goes.

When it gets to the tower, it is received at different times. So the tower has to be able to take those multiple signals. And you combine those into a signal combined signal to make some sense of it.

And so getting into a little technical detail, hopefully I can explain this in a layman's terms here, this is a depiction of two signals. The mobile is actually sending out thousands of signals.

But to simplify it, I just carved it down to two different signals being sent and received, being received at two different times.

So the blue is one signal, and the red is the same signal, but it is received at sometime a little bit later. So it is the same pattern. And it is just shifted to the right by some time. The access is here, Y access here.

And so the way the tower handles that has a certain little window. It has a little bit of a grace period. Call that a -- frequency. Call it a grace period for simplicity.

2.2

So there is a certain amount of time it waits to receive all the signals. So let's, in a typical LT environment, that is going to be, typically it's going to be 4.7 microseconds. That is just the time it waits to receive all these signals coming from this mobile.

And then it takes all the signals that come from within that 4.7 microseconds and then you combine all into a single signal. So this 4.7 microseconds, we know the -- we know that radio waves pick up over the speed of light.

We can convert that into distance. So that 4.7 microseconds equals .9 miles. Almost one mile. So what that means is the shortest signal, that is the difference between the shortest signal and the longest signal.

So the first signal received and then the last signal received within that frame, within that 4.7 microseconds is a one mile distance. Has to bounce off various things to get to that tower. Does that make sense?

Q. Yes. Keep going.

2.0

2.1

2.2

A. Okay. The other thing -- so that is, and there is signals that are outside of that time frame that could interfere with that. So there might be other signals at five microseconds, six microseconds, seven microseconds later that may cause interference with that.

So anything within that time frame is good.

Anything outside that time frame is bad. So any signals that get delayed beyond the mile causes interference, basically interference, which is bad.

The other thing that can cause interference are external interference. Things like military installations, drones, people that put these boosters in their houses that boost the signal to their houses, those cause interference.

We have seen interference from cable companies at distribution sites. I have seen interference from LED lights like in a factory. I have seen the interference come on at 9:00 a.m. and turn off at 5:00 p.m.

And we found that it is related to a certain model of LED lights. So there is a billboard sign, all sort of stuff, connections within the cell tower itself

can cause interference. All sorts of things that can cause internal interference.

2.2

All these things affect, affect the signal to noise, affect how the locations are estimated. So when you see interference, it affects the accuracy of the locations.

Then I want to get into the actual call records that T-Mobile provides. So the call records that T-Mobile provides, these are what is called their timing advance records. This is what the prosecution used to display the tower location. And then they have a -- here is what they display in there, in their power points.

And so this, so here is the tower location here. This slide here is what they call ranging data. It is the distance that is logged in the T-Mobile record from the tower to this arch, this arcway, this arc.

So, according to the T-Mobile record, they will give you a distance in this, and then the prosecution is drawing this arc because the antenna is pointing in this direction. So they are saying, well, the mobile could be anywhere along this arc.

So -- and then if you look at this record here, this column V is the distance of this arc. So I don't

know which record this is. But let's say it is the first record 1.99 miles. Then this radius here would be 1.99 miles.

2.1

2.2

And then they are drawing this arc to say the mobile could be anywhere on this line, on this arc line. But if -- T-Mobile also provides an explanation to these records.

And so the record they were just looking at, this timing advance miles, there is a start and an end, start of the record and end of the record, their description for that is the miles used to calculate the confidence.

And the confidence is another field in the record. The confidence is this field here.

And the confidence is associated with the actual latitude and longitude. (Zoom cuts out) and the way (Zoom cuts out).

THE COURT: Mr. Miletic, I am going to stop you. Sorry about that. You broke up again. If you go back to the first part of that explanation of the confidence.

THE WITNESS: Confidence. So the confidence.

So this -- this parameter of the miles, the ranging data that they use, the description that T-Mobile provides is

that those miles are used to calculate the confidence.

And the confidence is another field in the record.

And the confidence is either low, medium or high, according to T-Mobile. They describe low as greater than 300 meters. Medium as 100 to 300 meters and high as less than a hundred meters.

And that has, that is associated with the latitude and longitude estimate. So all three of these fields are related, according to T-Mobile.

Now, the way the timing advance field, T-Mobile is looking at that in miles. But the parameter timing advance that is used by cellular networks is not associated with miles.

It is a time-based parameter. So in LTE, for example, the parameter on a call, the timing advance parameter is anywhere from 0 to 63.

Each of those values is associated with a time difference. And so, for example, if -- and when a network gets a signal from the mobile, if it wants the mobile to send that signal a little bit earlier in time the next time, it sends a timing -- (Zoom cuts out) down to the mobile. Saying, okay, advance your signal this much in time.

And it does that by sending a timing. The

network does not calculate the location or the distance.

It doesn't care where the mobile is. All it cares about is when it receives that signal (zoom cuts out).

THE COURT: Mr. Miletic, you broke up a little bit. Can you go back to when it receives that signal?

We lost you after that.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry. I think the network, the internet. So the network doesn't care where the mobile is. It does not require the location of the mobile in order to provide a quality communications channel.

What it does with the timing advance parameter is when it wants the mobile to send a signal a little bit earlier in time, it sends a timing advance parameter to the mobile saying okay, mobile, send your signal this amount of time earlier than you would on the next transmission because we are not getting your signal. We are getting your signal too soon or too late.

So it doesn't, the network doesn't care where the mobile is. It has no idea where it is. Doesn't care where it is. Doesn't use the timing advance figure to calculate the location of the mobile in order to provide a communications channel with the mobile.

But the time advance figure is used after the

fact. So there is some calculation that T-Mobile does after the fact, looks at the log data and (zoom cuts out) and performs a calculation, and it logs this timing advance in miles.

So we don't know what the calculation is to get from timing advance value 0 to 63 to miles. So that is one algorithm we don't know.

# BY MR. BRETZ:

Q. So, just to clarify, in reference to the algorithms that, for instance, T-Mobile is using to make this calculation that you just described, that is internal to the network carrier T-Mobile that is proprietary. And so those are not publically available. So we don't know, for instance, what the error spread is on that or any such thing; is that correct?

MR. SHLIFKA: Judge, I am going to object to the form of the question.

THE COURT: Mr. Miletic, before you answer that, Chuck, can you break that down into, even when it starts with publically available.

## BY MR. BRETZ:

- Q. So the algorithms such as were used T-Mobile by here, are those proprietary or publically available?
  - A. There are a couple different algorithms. There

2.2

is one, the timing advance number is a unitless number that is based on time. The timing advance known as logged in the record is in units of miles.

So there was a calculation that must have been done by T-Mobile to convert timing advance value, a unitless number that can be calculated into time, that converts that into miles.

I don't know what that calculation was. That wasn't provided by T-Mobile. So if they are not providing it, it must be proprietary to them.

- Q. All right. And typically these type of algorithms that are utilized by the network carriers are not public and cannot be independently tested or verified; is that correct?
- A. Not this one. I mean, there are standards, Triple A standards. Anything in those standard is public. This is not in those standards.

The other proprietary algorithm used which I think the prosecution already stipulated to was the calculation performed to actually do the location estimate and to determine the latitude and longitude. That is the other proprietary algorithm.

And then if I may make one more comment, any estimate that is done should also have an error factor

associated with it. The timing advance value does not have any error factor associated with it is also misleading.

- Q. You froze up. You said there was no error factor assigned to it. You froze up again. Can you pick up from there, please?
- A. Sorry. Yes. There is no error factor along with the timing advance number, the values. Those are estimates. Those are calculations.

So any estimate should have, must have an error factor or a confidence interval. There is none provided here. So, again, is it exactly 1 point -- what is it, the exact value of what it was calculated, or is there some error factor there? There must be some error factor there. It is just some estimate.

They do not provide that. So that is misleading.

- Q. Now, in the record that we have where it indicates low confidence, what does that indicate?
- A. I just pulled out a couple of points. There might be more. But the prosecution presents a map. And they present a map that looks highly precise.

However, if you look at the log files, the confidence level of that is low, which means the,

according to T-Mobile, the error is 300 meters or greater.

They don't put a maximum value on that. So, again, the picture is misleading. If you look at, you have to look at the records. And if you look at the records, if you look just at the picture, you are going to be misled into thinking that the mobile has to be somewhere along that line.

- Q. And, in fact --
- A. Same with, same with the next slide.
- A. Not a lot because (A) there is no error factors. How do we know what that error factor is. If we don't know what the error factor is, we can't plot the actual estimate.

So it is misleading to plot it at all. And it is also misleading to plot the actual latitude longitude point, which I know they don't do that. Probably why they don't plot the actual latitude longitude.

And then the -- going back to the ranging data, this is used to calculate the confidence. That means it is associated with the latitude longitude, which they already stipulated that that is, uses proprietary

methods.

So I think it is misleading to display any of this information.

- Q. Now, on the next slide that is entitled

  T-Mobile timing records, you started to summarize some

  of your findings in reference to your review of the

  records in this case; is that correct?
- A. Yes. It's basically a summary of what I just have spoken to. I can go over it if you would like.
- Q. Yes. Just to clarify and any questions that exist as to your expert opinions regarding your review of this particular case and the materials therein.
- A. Right. So Item 1 again is the timing advance perimeter is not used in real-time by the network to establish and maintain a quality communications channel.

All the network cares about is the time it receives the signal. It doesn't care where the mobile is.

In terms of the ranging miles parameter, they don't provide us the calculation from timing advance to miles. I don't know what that is.

They don't provide the error rates for that estimate. I showed you a couple of points where the prosecution had maps with a low confidence error factor

showing a very precise location for that mobile, which is misleading.

The proprietary methods used to estimate the location and estimate the range are proprietary to T-Mobile. They do not provide those calculations to us.

T-Mobile uses timing advance reference records in this data to do troubleshooting and optimization.

They don't use it -- and they use it under a statistical basis. They use it in statistically valid numbers.

They don't look at one off mobiles and say oh, this one mobile had a drop call here. We are going to go send out engineers to determine why that occurred.

What they do do is look at -- (zoom cuts out) data.

- Q. You said -- we lost you there. You said what they do do. And then we lost you.
- A. What they do use it for is they look at a multitude of records, a statistically valid number of records. Say maybe several hundred cellphones over a certain time period.

And they have some similar issues. And in those cases, they may start looking into the cause of those issues.

And, finally, T-Mobile specifically states, and

2.0

I think we have gone through this before, but, you know, they do state that they don't certify these records.

They certify it as business records. And they don't testify. They don't send people to testify. There is a reason for that.

They don't want the liability of having to use these records on an individual basis.

- Q. So would it be accurate that a carrier like T-Mobile will not confirm the accuracy of the data generated except for their own purposes, which is the maintenance of the network?
- A. That is basically what the liability says to me, to tell you the truth of it.
  - Q. Then you have a final slide as well?
  - A. Probably just a summary of everything.
  - Q. If you can just quickly go through that.
- A. This is just probably, maybe just -- we didn't discuss the H-plane. Maybe summarize that here in the last, again, the actual coverage looks nothing like the H-Plane depiction.

The H-plane depiction is really just a depiction of an antenna, of one antenna within a -- (zoom cuts out). It should not be applied to real world environment.

- Q. You broke up there.
- A. No cell engineers --
- Q. You broke up there a little bit. So the H-plane depiction would only have true application in a neutral site whether it is all by itself and with no other things to obstruct signals and things of that nature as to that single tower, correct?
- A. Yes. I would go further. It would have to be in an isolated chamber because it would have to not be affected by any radio waves or any external signals.

And that depiction would only apply to a particular antenna that has that exact pattern. And I never, I have worked with a lot of cellular engineers, RF engineers. And they would never do anything like that to determine the coverage of their network.

- Q. And so, based on your experience, your review of the materials in this case and the various conclusions that you have come to, what would your comment be as to the reliability of the conclusions that were reached, not to make it personal or anything, but by Detective German in reference to his analysis using the ZetX program?
- A. I mean there may be cases where the data is accurate. And there are also cases where the data is

not accurate. One cannot apply a -- one cannot apply one to the other.

You can't apply (zoom cuts out), where it is accurate to a case where it may or may not be accurate. And all I am saying is there are a lot of unknowns here. There are proprietary methods used. There are changes in the network throughout the day, throughout the seasons, throughout the years where you could be in the same spot and an estimate at one time can be accurate and an estimate at another time may not be accurate.

So to use it in this particular instance where you have to, you are really pinpointing the accuracy at a certain specific date and time for a single device is misleading in my opinion.

- Q. And by misleading, do you mean that you would contest the results that have been presented as being accurate?
- A. I would contest the results. I would contest the results being accurate because they are unverifiable, and we cannot test it because we don't have those calculations.
- Q. And I guess maybe a better way of putting it you would contest these results are reliable; would that be true?

- A. I would contest that because you can't test it if is untestable because we can't go back in time and test the reliability of those data.
- Q. And so between not being able to go back in time and test the reliability as well as utilizing the fact that information that was utilized came from proprietary sources with unknown rates of error, all of that would add to the unreliability of the outcome; is that correct?
- A. Correct. We don't have the raw data that T-Mobile used to calculate the data they provide in the records. If we had the raw data and the algorithms, we might be able to reproduce what they provide in the records. We don't have that.

MR. BRETZ: Judge, I tender the witness.

THE WITNESS: And we are also able to go back in time --

### BY MR. BRETZ:

- Q. Why don't you repeat that last phrase. You cut out part of it.
- A. We do not have the raw data that T-Mobile used to run through their proprietary algorithms. And we don't have their proprietary algorithms. So we can't verify the data in their records. That is one.

Two, we can't go back in time and be there at the time of the incident to test the location. So, in my opinion, the data cannot be verified. And, therefore, is unreliable.

MR. BRETZ: I tender the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Shlifka?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY:

MR. MARK SHLIFKA

### BY MR. SHLIFKA:

- Q. Mr. Miletic, can you hear me?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Good afternoon. Mr. Miletic, it is fair to say you don't have a concern with the ZetX or TraX actually plotting numeric values? Is that fair to say?
- A. It depends on what values they are applying. Well, to take -- I don't have an issue with taking and how they do it, I do have an issue in how it misleads someone that looks at it.
- Q. Mr. Miletic, I guess I will clarify. You don't take issue without any context the simple plotting of the numbers performed by ZetX, right?
- A. It is kind of a vague question. Can you put some specifics to it?
  - Q. Yes. Mr. Miletic, based on your review of the

2.2

materials and the numeric values contained in the call detail records and the other cellphone records, it is not your position that ZetX is, for example, improperly placing a longitude or latitude value, something like that?

A. So if they are taking a latitude longitude value from the T-Mobile records and putting that as a point on the map, I don't have an issue with that function.

I do have an issue with the actual calculation of the latitude longitude.

- Q. All right. So you would agree with me your concern that you are attempting to voice is more so with the records themselves and not the TraX or the ZetX program, right?
- A. Again, it is a vague question because TraX does add visual representations to their maps that aren't necessarily in the T-Mobile records.
  - Q. Are you referencing the H-Plane?
- A. That is one. The other are the arcs, (zoom cuts out) the arc lines that are drawn.
  - Q. What is your --
  - A. (Zoom cuts out) I believe those are the two.
  - Q. Mr. Miletic, can you just rephrase the answer?

You kind of cut out on our end.

2.0

2.1

2.2

A. Sure. Sorry. The arc lines that they draw are not in the T-Mobile records. And the H-plane that they display are not in the T-Mobile records.

- Q. Now, you testified on direct that obviously you are familiar that the arcs that they are drawing are based on the sectors from the records, right?
  - A. That is my understanding.
- Q. So obviously you would agree with me that those arcs are, in fact, coming from the records, right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Let's move on to the records themselves.

Your biggest concern with the call detail records and the timing advance records are the verifiable or the ability to retest them, right?

- A. Well, there are two different types of records. So there is the call detail records. And then there is a timing advance records. Those are two separate data files that T-Mobile provides.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. The call detail records do not provide an estimate of the location of the phone. They provide the location of the tower antenna sector that is serving the phone on a call text message.

I don't have an issue with displaying the location of the tower and the direction of the antenna that is serving the call coming from the CBRs (inaudible).

THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear the last words.

## BY MR. SHLIFKA:

- Q. Mr. Miletic, can you restate the end of your answer?
- A. Sure. So on the CBR records, I do not have an issue of the display of the location of the cell tower and the direction of the sector that is serving the call, serving the phone. I don't have a problem with displaying that. That is logical.
- Q. And you would agree with me, you have a similar conclusion as to ZetX that a cellphone is approximately located in a cell coverage area, right?
- A. Well, that depends on the cell coverage area.

  So, you know, I mean, you could -- you have to know what the cell coverage area is.
- Q. And you would agree with me that one of the ways to confirm a cell coverage area is to perform a drive test, right?
  - A. Yes, that would be one way to do it.

And you seem to take issue with 1 Ο. 2 Detective German's drive test, right? Yes. 3 Α. Did you perform a drive test as a result of 4 Q. this case? 5 6 Α. No. Did you do anything to verify the coverage area 7 Q. of this case? 8 9 Α. No. And you are just simply here today just 10 Ο. testifying that it is wrong, right? 11 12 I am here testifying that -- are you Α. talking about the drive test is wrong or --13 The cell coverage area, Mr. Miletic. 14 Q. You mean the H-plane depiction of the cell 15 Α. coverage area? 16 17 Q. Just the cell coverage areas and the materials that you were provided as a result of this case. 18 The only thing that was provided to me for the 19 coverage area of the cell was the H-plane depiction. 20 Anything else, I don't believe I have seen it. 2.1 2.2 Mr. Miletic, let's talk about the timing Q. advance data. Your concern with the timing advance data 23 is that these data points are not verifiable or 24

testable, right?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. Which data points?
- A. What was your question again? Unverifiable or untestable?
- Q. Mr. Miletic, do you remember testifying on direct a couple minutes ago that you had concern with the timing advance records because the data is not testable or not verifiable?

Do you recall testifying to that?

- A. **I** do.
- Q. And your concern was that the distance determinations that the cellphone records were provided you indicate are based on unknown algorithms, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you are indicating that because of that that, therefore, you as an individual, you have no recourse to verify this data set, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you have had the opportunity to review materials in this case such as police reports and surveillance videos, right?
  - A. I looked at them. But I didn't focus on that

material.

2.2

- Q. You were provided them, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And obviously you know and you obviously heard Detective German testifying today that when he performs historical cell site -- before today.

MR. BRETZ: I thought you said today.

## BY MR. SHLIFKA:

- Q. You heard Detective German testify before today, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you heard and you reviewed the materials of Detective German that when he performs historical cell site analysis, he doesn't just rely on the ZetX program, right?
- $\label{eq:A. I don't remember. I will take your word for it.$
- ${\tt Q}.$  And you heard him testify that he will also interview individuals, right?
- MR. BRETZ: Judge, I am going to object at this point because this is outside his area of -- what they are trying to get to is the fact that if something anecdotally supports it, that that somehow validates the analysis done.

That is a totally separate issue. If they have some separate piece of evidence such as an interview with someone or a video that they could properly present at trial in order to try to establish a case that somebody or somebody with a cellphone was in a particular location, that is a separate issue.

You can't anecdotally make an analysis accurate because something else happens to come up with the same conclusion.

MR. SHLIFKA: Judge, that would be essentially just verifying something or testing something what Mr. Miletic is saying is impossible. I believe that when Detective German testified that historical cell site analysis isn't just utilizing ZetX but is also interviewing individuals to determine where cellphones are located and plug surveillance footage, that is part of the analysis of historical cell site analysis. Also I believe it goes to this witness' credibility that he is saying Detective German did everything wrong and it appears that he hasn't reviewed the corroboration done by Detective German.

MR. BRETZ: Judge, he is mixing apples and oranges. The bottom line is nobody, nobody, except for perhaps the prosecution thinks that historical cell site

analysis includes interviewing people and looking at video. That is not cell site analysis.

That is independent investigation that may anecdotally corroborate something. But that is a separate, you know, question, evidentiary question as to the admissibility of those issues. It has nothing to do with the validity of the cell site analysis.

And the fact they are even suggesting that somehow that questions the integrity of Mr. Miletic because he is not relying on things that have nothing to do with cell site analysis really shows the shortcoming of their understanding of what this is even about.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SHLIFKA: No, Judge.

THE COURT: I guess here is where I am at, and maybe now I have gone far afield on this. But I really see this as there are three issues, two motions, three issues.

One is the initial admission of the cellphone records, cellphone data or whatever that is, which I believe we have already dealt with, right? I mean, there is an agreement that those are foundationally acceptable.

And so the question becomes whether or not the

respective experts, which is issue two, whether or not

Detective German, Mr. Miletic, Sy Ray, whoever it may

be, you want them to testify as experts in this, which I

think clearly, they satisfy those -- the criteria for

that. I do.

The third thing is their interpretation as experts in cell site data. That is really what we are talking about. And I think that is the whole issue of what Mr. Bretz is objecting to or you are talking about anecdotal evidence. The issue is whether or not these individuals, these gentleman can testify saying I am looking at the records. The records may be good. They may be garbage.

But based upon those records, this is what I am saying. And then it will be the question of fact as it relates to the garbage in or garbage out kind theory of evidence. So am I wrong on any of this?

MR. BRETZ: No, Judge. You are spot on. That is -- the objection we have been raising all along was not that Jeff German doesn't have credentials to do the software program and not that the records didn't come from the provider.

It is what it is those records, you know, are, can be used for and the fact that the methodology that

they are attempting to use in order to reach geo location analysis results is flawed, fatally flawed.

And, therefore, should not be allowed.

MR. SHLIFKA: Judge, I guess kind of what I believe Mr. Bretz' argument was previous and kind of what the Court is alluding to, the State is not trying to discredit that Mr. Miletic is not an expert, but I think kind of more of a weight versus admissibility where it is two experts in the same field having different opinions or positions as to how to interpret records.

And that is kind of where this impasse is almost reached. But as the Court alluded to, it ultimately will come down to fact finders, whether that is the jury or the Court as to who is more credible and who is to be given more weight.

MR. BRETZ: But the problem is, Judge, again, as Mr. Miletic alluded to I guess specifically set forth at the beginning of his testimony today,

Detective German is qualified to take data that he cannot attest for the reliability of or provide any error factors pertaining thereto, but he can take that and put it into a computer program. He knows how to do that. He can teach other people how to do that and say

it produced these results.

2.0

What he can't do is to tell us that this is reliable or verifiable, particularly as to this particular case. None of the questions that have been raised by a true expert in cell tower networks like Mr. Miletic, which there are very few of them around, believe me I looked, and none of them have been addressed by Detective German, all due respect to him, or even Sy Ray, who really didn't add anything to this question other than saying yes, I started this company and, you know, because he knew nothing about this case, what variation was used, what data was used, nothing. So I don't think he really added anything.

So the problem is is that the process that they are using is fatally flawed right from the beginning.

And they have no one who can verify the reliability, the accuracy, the verifiability, the grade of error, any of those things as to the data that they are using here to reach these conclusions.

MR. SHLIFKA: Judge, I guess the --

THE COURT: Is there any other evidence you want to present? Mr. Miletic, do you want to ask him anything else?

MR. SHLIFKA: No further questions.

THE COURT: Okay. So here is what we are 1 2 going to do -- do you have any other questions for Mr. Miletic? 3 MR. BRETZ: I don't, Judge. 4 THE COURT: 5 So, Mr. Miletic, Jeff German, you 6 guys want to hang out and listen to whatever we are going to do next, you are more than welcome, but we are 7 going to close evidence at this point in time unless the 8 State wants to call anybody else. Unless Mr. Bretz 9 wants to call anybody else. 10 MR. SHLIFKA: No, Judge. 11 MR. BRETZ: No. 12 THE COURT: I suppose it is just a matter of 13 argument. 14 MR. BRETZ: Judge, we could come back another 15 16 day. I wouldn't mind just --17 THE COURT: -- going through everything? about this, can we do it though --18 MR. BRETZ: Relatively soon, I understand. 19 MR. SHLIFKA: Yes. 2.0 21 THE COURT: Maybe even the 23rd. I have a couple other things up. 22 MR. SHLIFKA: We have Boshears that day if you 23 24 want to do both.

MR. BRETZ: I am going to be here. 1 THE COURT: So will I. 2 MR. SHLIFKA: I will be here too, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Why don't we set this over to the 4 Do you want to say like 11:00 o'clock or so? 5 23rd? MR. BRETZ: Fine. 6 MR. SHLIFKA: That works. 7 THE COURT: I have got a bunch of things I am 8 kind of jamming in there. But we will make this a 9 priority. I will listen to everybody. And we will go 10 from there. 11 MR. BRETZ: I don't think either one of us will 12 be that lengthy. I think you basically understand what 13 our respective positions are. 14 THE COURT: Yes, like I said, I think we 15 16 really narrowed it down finally, so at least for me, and 17 I am a simple person. So thank you, gentlemen, for attending by zoom. 18 And we will reconvene around 11:00 o'clock on Tuesday, 19 April 23rd. And we will go from there. Okay. Tolling 20 21 any sort of speedy trial demand? 2.2 MR. BRETZ: Yes.

THE COURT:

be found. And we will go from there.

23

24

Detention once again is going to

MR. BRETZ: Yes. All of that is true. We agree with all of that. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Zabala, see you back on April 23rd. 

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
2	WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS
3	
4	I, CYNTHIA A. JARZ, Official Court Reporter for
5	the Circuit Court of Will County, Twelfth Judicial
6	Circuit of Illinois, do hereby certify the foregoing to
7	be a true and accurate transcript of the testimony and
8	proceedings in the above-entitled cause.
9	
10	
11	Cynthia A. Jarz
12	Official Court Reporter  CSR No. 084-002671
13	CSK NO. 004-002071
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	